CF1002 SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 1232 OF 2007

PETITIONER : Chandrawati Das wife of Sudeshwar Prasad, aged about 28 years, Occupation- *"Sah-Sahayeeka"* Angan Badi, Nutrition and Food Distribution Centre, R/o Village-Purandeeh Sarnadeeh Post-Balrampur, District Sarguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 9245 Presented by Shri Bhushan Rungta dated 5/2/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through: the Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY 5/2/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The District Collector, Sarguja (C.G.)

3. District Programme Officer, Women and Child Welfare District Sarguja (C.G.)

4. Pushpa Singh wife of Mahendra Singh, aged about 31 years, R/o Sarnadeeh, Post-Balrampur, District Sarguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION ETC.

1 PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

(52)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 1232 of 2007

Petitioner	Versus	Chandrawati Das
Respondents		State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Vinay Pandey Panel Lawyer for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

ORDER

(Passed on this 1st day of March, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner challenges the validity of the order dated 11.1.2007 (Annexure P/1) passed by the respondent No.3, whereby the respondent No.4 has been selected and appointed on the post of Aanganwadi Worker at village Purandeh, District – Surguja.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the selection and appointment of the respondent No.4 is contrary to the directions contained in the order dated 10.4.2006 (Annexure P/4) issued by the Women and Child Development Department, State of Chhattisgarh. He further submits that as the petitioner is already working on the post of Sah Sahayika vide appointment order dated 29.10.2004 (Annexure P/2), therefore, she has preferential right to be appointed as Anganbadi Worker, over the respondent No.4.
3. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on facts as well as on merit, the Collector, Surguja is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganwadi Scheme and law.
4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.
5. Consequently, M(W)P No. 832/2007 stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur